

Team #

## **Team Interview Rubric**

Grade Level  $\Box$  ES |  $\Box$  MS |  $\Box$  HS |  $\Box$  VEX U

Judge Name:

**Directions:** Determine a point value that best characterizes the content of the Team Interview for that criterion. Write that value in the column to the right.

	PROFICIENCY LEVEL			
CRITERIA	EXPERT (4-5 POINTS)	PROFICIENT (2-3 POINTS)	EMERGING (0-1 POINTS)	POINTS
ENGINEERING DESIGN PROCESS All Awards	Team shows evidence of independent inquiry <u>from the</u> <u>beginning stages</u> of their design process. This includes brainstorming, testing, and exploring alternative solutions	Team shows evidence of independent inquiry for <u>some</u> <u>elements</u> of their design process	Team <u>shows little to no</u> <u>evidence</u> of independent inquiry in their design process	
GAME STRATEGIES Design, Innovate, Create	Team can fully explain their <u>entire</u> game strategy including game analysis	Team can explain their current strategy with <u>limited evidence of game analysis</u>	Team <u>did not explain</u> game strategy/strategy is not student-directed	
ROBOT DESIGN Design, Innovate, Create, Amaze	Team can <u>fully explain</u> the evolution of their robot design to the current design	Team can provide a <u>limited</u> <u>description</u> of why the current robot design was chosen, but shows limited evolution	Team <u>did not explain</u> robot design /design is not student- directed	
<b>ROBOT BUILD</b> Build, Create, Amaze	Team can <u>fully explain</u> their robot construction. Ownership of the robot build is evident	Team can describe why the current robot design was chosen, but with <u>limited explanation</u>	Team <u>did not explain</u> robot build/build is not student- directed	
ROBOT PROGRAMMING Think, Amaze	Team can <u>fully explain</u> the evolution of their programming	Team can describe how the current programs work, but with <u>limited evolution</u>	Team <u>did not explain</u> programming or programming is not student-directed	
TEAM AND PROJECT MANAGEMENT All Awards	Team can explain <u>how team</u> progress was tracked against an overall project timeline, Team can explain management of material and personnel resources	Team can explain <u>how team</u> <u>progress was monitored</u> , and some degree of management of material and personnel resources	Team <u>cannot explain how</u> <u>team progress was monitored</u> or how resources were managed.	
TEAMWORK, COMMUNICATION, PROFESSIONALISM All Awards	Most or all team members contribute to explanations of the design process, game strategy, and other work done by the team.	Some team members contribute to explanations of the design process, game strategy, and other work done by the team.	Few team members contribute to explanations of the design process, game strategy, and other work done by the team.	
RESPECT, COURTESY, POSITIVITY All Awards	Team consistently interacts respectfully, courteously, and positively in their interview	Team interactions show signs of respect and courtesy, but there is room for improvement	Team interactions lack respectful and courteous behavior	
SPECIAL ATTRIBUTES AND OVERALL IMPRESSIONS Judges, Inspire	Does the team have any special attribut event? Did anything stand out about this			TOTAL POINTS
NOTES:				

All Judging materials are strictly confidential. They are not shared beyond the Judges/Judge Advisor and shall be destroyed at the end of the event.